## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicants thank Examiner Astorino for the courtesy he extended to Applicants' representative, Erik G. Swenson, during the personal interview held on February 27, 2007. During the interview, claim 90 and Iliff et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,849,045, were discussed. During the interview, it was agreed that the prior art does not teach the invention as described in claim 90, for at least the reason that the prior art does not teach a processing computer that is configured to use the sources of physiological and symptom data to determine if an exception should be issued. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request allowance of claim 90.